

## 2016 RDPG AWARDS

Forms for each of the awards are available on the RDPG website. The application deadline for all awards is July 15, 2016. All applicants must be RDPG members as of July 15, 2016. Notification will occur in August.

### Student Research Award

**Purpose:**

- To award high quality student research poster or oral presentations at FNCE®

**Application Criteria:**

- Abstract accepted for poster or oral **research** presentation the 2016 FNCE® meeting.
- Must be a student. Student is defined as someone attending a university/college at the time the abstract was submitted. The term student encompasses undergraduate, graduate or at the post-doctoral level.
- First author of abstract

**Award Expectations:**

- Winners are expected to present their abstract/poster at the RDPG Breakfast at FNCE®
- Awardee will receive a \$500 monetary award
- Award does not include money to cover travel expenses to attend FNCE®
- Reviewer feedback will be provided to applicants

**Application format:**

- Send a copy of the abstract, the acceptance notification, and the completed application form to: [rdpgawards@gmail.com](mailto:rdpgawards@gmail.com)

**Rating criteria:** See Scoring Rubric at the end/bottom of page.

## Research Pilot Grant Award

### **Purpose:**

- To provide pilot or seed money for a project that is nutrition or dietetics related and will advance our knowledge of nutrition
- Sponsored by the Sugar Association

### **Application Criteria:**

- Member of the RDPG
- Students are eligible to apply but need a brief letter of project approval or support from their mentor or professor

### **Award Expectations:**

- Monetary award of \$5000 (winner is expected to comply with all IRB and IACUC requirements)
- Winner introduced at the RDPG Breakfast at the 2016 FNCE<sup>®</sup> meeting
- Winner expected to give a brief description of the project at that year's FNCE<sup>®</sup> meeting  
This can be given in writing if necessary.
- Winner expected to provide a brief summary of project in the *Digest* Fall issue one year after the award
- Award does not cover travel expenses to attend FNCE<sup>®</sup> nor salary support for the applicant, or any other employee
- Award does not cover indirect costs
- Reviewer feedback will be provided to applicants

### **Application format:**

- Send a copy of the completed application form to: rdpgawards@gmail.com
- Students need also include their letter of project approval or support from their mentor or professor

### **Application content: The application includes the following.**

- Introduction and Background (Limit 2000 characters)
- Research Question
- Specific Aims and/or Hypothesis
- Study Design and Methods (Limit 3000 characters)
- Time Line for Completion
- Description of Expertise, Resources and Environment (Limit 3000 characters)
- Description of How this Project Fits with Overall Research Agenda (Limit 1500 characters)
- Budget with Justifications IRB and/or IACUC approval is not required at the time of submission but awardees are expected to comply with all IRB and/or IACUC requirements

**Rating criteria:** See Scoring Rubric at the end/bottom of page.

## **First Author Award**

### **Purpose:**

- To recognize a member who has an outstanding publication
- There is not a monetary award given

### **Criteria:**

- First author of a peer-reviewed, scientific publication
- Paper must have been published (including e-published) in an issue of a refereed journal dated between May 1, 2015 and June 1, 2016. Papers submitted or accepted but not published will not be considered this year but could be submitted for a future award.

### **Award Expectations:**

- Winner will be introduced at the RDPG Breakfast at FNCE®.
- Award certificate will be presented at this meeting or mailed to the recipient if the awardee is not attending FNCE®

### **Application format:**

- Send a copy of the publication and the completed application form to: [rdpgawards@gmail.com](mailto:rdpgawards@gmail.com)

**Rating criteria:** See Scoring Rubric at the end/bottom of page.

## **Emerging Investigator Author Award (formerly known as Junior Faculty Award)**

### **Purpose:**

- To recognize a junior RDPG member who has an outstanding publication.
- There is not a monetary award given.

### **Criteria:**

- First author of a peer-reviewed, scientific publication
- Applicant must be a current student or no more than 8 years past completion of highest conferred degree
- Paper must have been published (including e-published) in an issue of a refereed journal dated between May 1, 2015 and June 1, 2016. Papers submitted or accepted but not published will not be considered this year but could be submitted for a future award.

### **Award Expectations:**

- Winner will be introduced at the RDPG Breakfast at FNCE®.
- Award certificate will be presented at this meeting or mailed to the recipient if the awardee is not attending FNCE®.

### **Application format:**

- Send a copy of the publication, proof of emerging author status, and the completed application form to: [rdpgawards@gmail.com](mailto:rdpgawards@gmail.com)

**Rating criteria:** See Scoring Rubric at the end/bottom of page.



# Research Pilot Grant Proposal Score Sheet

<b>Reviewer Number:</b> _____  <b>Total Score:</b> _____
--

**Proposal Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicant's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Research DPG Member:** \_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no (If no, application will not be scored.)

**For student grant application, Advisor's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Research DPG Member:** \_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no (If no, application will not be scored.)

**Institution:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer Instructions:** Please score each of the 5 review criteria on a scale of 1-5:

- |                              |                           |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 5 = outstanding, exceptional | 2 = marginal              |
| 4 = good                     | 1 = poor, of little value |
| 3 = satisfactory             |                           |

Review Criteria	Score	For scores 4 or 5 or 1 or 2, state why the score was outstanding/good or poor/marginal, respectively.
1. Quality of research plan and scientific merit		
2. Relevance of proposed research to topic area		
3. Methods and anticipated results		
4. Clarity of proposal		
5. Feasibility of completing research given budget, time limits, level of expertise and resources.		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>		

Any other comments:



# Student Research Awards & Emerging Investigator/First Author Score Sheet

Reviewer Number: _____
Total Score: _____

**Paper Title:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Journal:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Applicant's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Research DPG Member:** \_\_\_ yes \_\_\_ no (If no, application will not be scored.)

**Reviewer Instructions:** Please score each of the 5 review criteria on a scale of 1-5:

- 5 = outstanding, exceptional      2 = marginal
- 4 = good                                      1 = poor, of little value
- 3 = satisfactory

Review Criteria	Score	For scores 4 or 5 or 1 or 2, state why the score was outstanding/good or poor/marginal, respectively.
1. Quality of research plan and scientific merit		
2. Relevance of research to topic area		
3. Methods and results		
4. Clarity of research paper		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>		

Any other comments: